

**FAQ TEMPLATE**

<b>RELEASE DATE</b>	20 July 2012	<b>ID</b>		<b>CONTACT</b>	Christian Holmes
<b>CATEGORY AFFECTS</b>	<Technical & Architecture> <Vendors & Integration>< PCEHR B2B Gateway >				
<b>TOPIC</b>	<b>PCEHR B2B Gateway integration: correct usage of XSDDocumentEntry.typeCodeDisplayName field</b>				

**DETAIL**  
*Question:*  
 The PCEHR Document Exchange Service Technical Service Specification makes conflicting statements on the correct use of the typeCodeDisplayName field.

Table 2 states that the field should always be the same as the corresponding classCodeDisplayName:

	This field shall be set to the same value as that provided in the classCode field.	
N/A	This field is not required by the Logical Model presented within the LSS but is a mandatory field within XDS. This field shall be set to the same value as that provided in the classCodeDisplayName field.	XSDDocumentEntry.typeCodeDisplayName
Common Header.	This value SHALL be set to the same value as the	XSDDocumentEntry.

While table 3 lists a different set of values:

*Table 3 - XSDDocumentEntry Document Type and Class Code value set*

Coding System	TypeCode ClassCode	ClassCodeDisplayName	TypeCodeDisplayName
LOINC	60591-5	Patient Summary	Shared Health Summary

Final

27

Document Exchange Service – Technical Service Specification

PCEHR

LOINC	57133-1	Referral note	e-Referral
LOINC	51852-2	Letter	Specialist Letter
LOINC	18842-5	Discharge Summarization Note	Discharge Summary
LOINC	34133-9	Summarization of episode note	Event Summary

Conformance point DEXS-T 55 emphasises this requirement :

DEXS-T 54	The XSDocumentEntry.typeCode SHALL be set to the same value as the XSDocumentEntry.classCode field.
DEXS-T 55	The XSDocumentEntry.typeCodeDisplayName SHALL be set to the value specified in the TypeCodeDisplayName column in Table 3 above.
DEXS-T 56	The XSDocumentEntry.uniqueId SHALL be set to the OID form of the Document Identifier field within the supplied CDA document. This equivalency shall be created according to the following rules:

*Answer:*

This is a known issue with the specification (Table 2 is in error). The PCEHR System requires that the typeCodeDisplayName is set to the value in the typeCodeDisplayName field of Table 3.

This classCodeDisplayName and typeCodeDisplayName fields differ when the typeCode is a LOINC code, where the displayName doesn't match the required Australian display name.