

# eDischarge Summary FAQ Specialty in eDischarge Summary

4 March 2013 v1.1 Approved for external use Document ID: NEHTA-1279:2013

Australian Digital Health Agency ABN 84 425 496 912, Level 25, 175 Liverpool Street, Sydney, NSW 2000 digitalhealth.gov.au

Telephone 1300 901 001 or email help@digitalhealth.gov.au

#### Disclaimer

The Australian Digital Health Agency ("the Agency") makes the information and other material ("Information") in this document available in good faith but without any representation or warranty as to its accuracy or completeness. The Agency cannot accept any responsibility for the consequences of any use of the Information. As the Information is of a general nature only, it is up to any person using or relying on the Information to ensure that it is accurate, complete and suitable for the circumstances of its use.

#### **Document control**

This document is maintained in electronic form and is uncontrolled in printed form. It is the responsibility of the user to verify that this copy is the latest revision.

#### Copyright © 2025 Australian Digital Health Agency

This document contains information which is protected by copyright. All Rights Reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means – graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems – without the permission of the Australian Digital Health Agency. All copies of this document must include the copyright and other information contained on this page. OFFICIAL

#### Acknowledgements

The Australian Digital Health Agency is jointly funded by the Australian Government and all state and territory governments.

#### **Regenstrief Institute (LOINC)**

This material contains content from LOINC (<u>http://loinc.org</u>). LOINC is copyright © 1995–2025, Regenstrief Institute, Inc. and the Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes (LOINC) Committee and is available at no cost under the license at <u>http://loinc.org/license</u>. LOINC® is a registered United States trademark of Regenstrief Institute, Inc.

#### **IHTSDO (SNOMED CT)**

This material includes SNOMED Clinical Terms<sup>™</sup> (SNOMED CT<sup>®</sup>) which is used by permission of the International Health Terminology Standards Development Organisation (IHTSDO). All rights reserved. SNOMED CT<sup>®</sup> was originally created by The College of American Pathologists. "SNOMED" and "SNOMED CT" are registered trademarks of the <u>IHTSDO</u>.

#### **HL7 International**

This document includes excerpts of HL7<sup>TM</sup> International standards and other HL7 International material. HL7 International is the publisher and holder of copyright in the excerpts. The publication, reproduction and use of such excerpts is governed by the <u>HL7 IP Policy</u> and the HL7 International License Agreement. HL7 and CDA are trademarks of Health Level Seven International and are registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

## **Document information**

## Key information

Owner	Director,	Interoperability Products
Contact for	Australia	n Digital Health Agency Help Centre
enquiries	Phone	<u>1300 901 001</u>
	Email	help@digitalhealth.gov.au

# Product or document version history

Product or document version	Date	Release comments
1.0	20 July 2012	First published.
1.1	4 March 2013	Updated to new format, minor edits for clarity and consistency
1.1	08 May 2025	The document presentation has been enhanced to align with current branding guidelines, however the content has not been changed.

# Transition of terms

Certain terms used within the context of this document have changed. The table provides a clear comparison of the historical terms used in text and their current equivalents for your reference.

Historical term	Current term
National eHealth Transition Authority (NEHTA)	The Australian Digital Health Agency (ADHA)
Personally controlled electronic health record (PCEHR)	My Health Record (MHR)

# How should the specialty field be used in an eDischarge Summary

# Background

The various documents pertaining to eDischarge Summary are not fully aligned with regard to the specialty field.

The core information components says:

Specialties	С, Е	Codeable Text	1Many	A reverse chronological list of the clinical specialties under which the patient was treated during the encounter (i.e. the last specialty appears first). Each specialty should only appear once in the list, in its first (i.e. most recent) position.

The structured document template says:

4.6 Spec	4.6 Specialty				
Identificati	on				
Name         Specialty           Metadata Type         Data Element           Identifier         DE-16028           OID         1.2.36.1.2001.1001.101.103.16028					
Definition					
Definition	The clinical specialty under which the subject of care was treated during the encounter.				
Definition Source	NEHTA				
Synonymous Names					
Notes When the subject of care has been managed by multiple clinical specialities duri the encounter/event, each specialty should only appear once. The specialties a in reverse chronological order (i.e. the last specialty appears first) so that the subject of care's journey during the healthcare event can be readily discerned					
Data Type	CodeableText				
Value Domain	Specialty Values				
Usage					
Examples	1. Specialist urogynaecologist				
	2. Specialist paediatric gastroenterologist and hepatologist				
	3. Specialist otolaryngologist - head and neck surgeon				

## and

Identificati	on
Name	Specialty Values
Metadata Type	Value Domain
Identifier	VD-16028
OID	1.2.36.1.2001.1001.101.104.16028
Definition Definition	The set of values for the clinical specially under which the subject of care was
Definition Definition Source	The set of values for the clinical specialty under which the subject of care was treated during the encounter. NEHTA
Value Dom	ain
Source	Medical Board of Australia: Medical Specialties and Specialty Fields [MBA2010a]

## The reference above is to

[MBA2010a] Medical Board of Australia, 31 March 2010, *List of specialties, fields and related titles Registration Standard*, July 2010, accessed 2 November 2011. http://www.medicalboard.gov.au/Registration-Standards.aspx

## This reference is available here:

http://www.medicalboard.gov.au/documents/default.aspx?record=WD10%2f106&dbid=AP&chksum =07LyD\_UkqqYa5O5LXuqbSzg%3d%3d

## The CDA implementation guide says:

Encounter > Specialty	The clinical specialty under which the patient was	1*	entry[specialty]		
	treated during the encounter.		entry[specialty]/@typeCode="DRIV"		
			entry[specialty]/observation		
			entry[specialty]/observation/@classCode="OBS"		
			entry[specialty]/observation/@moodCode="EVN"		
			entry[specialty]/observation/code	Medical Board of Australia: Medical Specialties and Spe- cialty Fields [MBA2010a]	See <code> for available attributes.</code>
			entry[specialty]jobservation/id	UUID This is a technical identifier that is used for system purposes such as matching. If a suitable internal key is not available, a UUID may be used.	See <id>for avail- able attributes.</id>
			entry[specialty]/observation/value:LIST <cd></cd>	NS	

## And has this example:

```
< --> Begin Encounter - Specialty (Administrative Observations) -->
<entry typeCode="DRIV"><!-- [specialty] -->
 <observation classCode="OBS" moodCode="EVN">
 <!-- ID is used for system purposes such as matching -->
 <id root="A27F2F28-C379-11DE-9550-A59055D89593"/>
 <code code="103.16028"
    codeSystem="1.2.36.1.2001.1001.101"
   codeSystemName="NCTIS Data Components"
   displayName="Specialty"/>
 <value code="394582007"
    codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.6.96"
     codeSystemName="SNOMED-CT"
     codeSystemVersion="20090731"
    displayName="Dermatology"
    xsi:type="CD"/>
  <value code="408459003"
    codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.6.96"
    codeSystemName="SNOMED-CT"
    codeSystemVersion="20090731"
    displayName="Paediatric cardiology"
    xsi:type="CD"/>
  <value code="394810000"
     codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.6.96"
     codeSystemName="SNOMED-CT"
     codeSystemVersion="20090731"
     displayName="Rheumatology"
     xsi:type="CD"/>
 </observation>
</entry>
< --- End Encounter - Specialty (Administrative Observations) -->
```

## The test data has:

## Test #1:

	Speciality	Specialist psychiatrist
	Speciality	Specialist plastic surgeon
	Speciality	Specialist emergency physician

#### Test #2:

Specialty Specialist emergency physician
--

According to the PCEHR Document Exchange Service Logical Service Specification, the metadata includes these fields:

Clinical Speciality Code	String	A code identifying the clinical specialty where the event relating to this document submission request initiated.	1
Clinical Specialty Display Name	String	A display friendly name for the above specialty.	1

#### And the fields have the following rules:

DEXS-L 11	The Clinical Specialty Code SHALL be set to a value specified within the Concept
	Code column in Table 32 in Appendix B.

**DEXS-L 118** The Clinical Specialty Name SHALL be set to the Display Name in Table 32 in Appendix B, which directly corresponds to the Clinical Specialty Code provided within the message.

## Table 32 specifies the codes:

Table 32 – Clinical	Fable 32 – Clinical Specialty Code value set	
Coding System	Concept Code	Display Name
ANZSIC	7000-6	Software installation service
ANZSIC	8401-17	Veterans Affairs Hospital
ANZSIC	8511-2	General medical practitioner service
ANZCIC	9520-11	Midwifery convice

In the PCEHR Document Exchange Technical Service Specification, the Clinical Specialty code is mapped to the XDS field practiceSettingCode.

## Problems

Several issues arise from this set of specifications.

- The correct spelling is —specialtyll not —specialityll, but implementers should be alert that this is a common misspelling that appears occasionally in NEHTA documents.
- It is not clear which values from the specialties list can be used.
- The specialty list is a list of values, not a list of codes.
- The CDA mapping and example instance disagree with each other and are both wrong.
- It is not clear how extensibility is handled.
- Do systems really have to support multiple values?
- The document metadata uses a different set of codes to the document.

## Which values can be used?

The structured content specification says —Medical Specialties and Specialty FieldsII. This means any value from the first two columns in the Medical Board of Australia reference above.

Specialty	Fields of specialty practice	Specialist titles

Approved for external use

In other words, the specialist titles are not included; however the values provided in the references are not codes. The correct list of codes is found in the Meteor value domain 329673 —Clinical specialties codell (http://meteor.aihw.gov.au/content/index.phtml/itemId/329673).

The test data is taken from the specialist titles column. The correct test data is:

Test #1:

- Psychiatry (Meteor Code 54)
- Plastic surgery (Meteor Code 52)
- Emergency medicine (Meteor Code 17)

Test #2:

• Emergency medicine (Meteor Code 17)

## Extensibility

Implementers are not required to map all their specialties to the list of clinical specialties codes – if they use additional specialities that don't have a clinical specialty code, then they can simply provide the text description directly.

## Representing specialty codes

The correct way to represent a specialty code from Meteor is:

```
<value code="14" codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.3.879.329673"
displayName="Dermatology" xsi:type="CD"/>
```

The OID 2.16.840.1.113883.3.879.329673 represents the Meteor code system. The code and displayName are taken from the Meteor clinical specialties codes. Note that there is no codeSystemVersion for Meteor code systems.

If the specialty doesn't map to an existing specialty defined by Meteor, then the correct representation is this:

```
<value xsi:type="CD"/>
<originalText value="Medical"/>
</value>
```

A more likely circumstance is that the local name of the specialty has a slightly different scope to the Meteor code. For example, the local clinical specialty of —Emergency Extended Care Unitll has the correct Meteor code 17 (—Emergency medicinell). This is not a precise match, so the appropriate representation is:

```
<value code="17" codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.3.879.329673"
displayName="Emergency medicine" xsi:type="CD"/>
<originalText value="Emergency Extended Care Unit"/>
</value>
```

Best practice is always to include the original text, whether the text is a precise match or not.

# **CDA** Representation

The proper representation for clinical specialty in CDA is as follows:

```
<entry typeCode="DRIV">
<!-- [specialty] -->
<observation classCode="OBS" moodCode="EVN">
<code code="103.16028" codeSystem="1.2.36.1.2001.1001.101"
    codeSystemName="NCTIS Data Components" displayName="Specialty"/>
    <value code="17" codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.3.879.329673"
    displayName="Emergency medicine" xsi:type="CD"/>
    <originalText value="Emergency Extended Care Unit"/>
    </value>
    <value code="46" codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.3.879.329673"
    displayName="Paediatrics" xsi:type="CD"/>
    <originalText value="Emergency Extended Care Unit"/>
    </value>
    <value code="46" codeSystem="2.16.840.1.113883.3.879.329673"
    displayName="Paediatrics" xsi:type="CD"/>
    <originalText value="Paediatric Medicine"/>
    </value>
    </observation>
</observation>
```

The mapping table should show the observation code mapped to the fixed NCTIS code shown in the above example, and the value bound to the meteor code system. This will be corrected in future releases.

## Warning

Due to implementation timing, not all providers of discharge summaries are in a position to follow this advice. Any systems extracting specialty data from CDA documents must be aware that documents need not conform to this advice and that the CDA validation infrastructure does not enforce this advice.

## Support for multiple values

The specifications allow for multiple values to reflect that patients will often be transferred between specialties during an admission. The test data includes multiple specialties to test for this capacity; however, not all discharge summary producing systems are able to support multiple specialties.

NEHTA encourages such systems to enhance their support for multiple specialties, but does not require this as a precondition of providing discharge summaries to the PCEHR System.

# Document metadata in the XDS

The specialty code in the discharge summary (Meteor) and the specialty code in the document metadata (ANZIC code) have different and incompatible value sets and cardinality. This presents a challenge for any system producing discharge summaries and uploading them to the PCEHR system.

The Clinical Specialty Code is a mandatory field in the XDS specification and therefore required by the PCEHR. However, there is no PCEHR functionality that is based on the value of this field. As a workaround for this release of the PCEHR, discharge summary producing systems that are not easily able to map from their clinical specialty to the ANZIC code list should pick a fixed value to put in the document metadata, based on the general nature of the health service represented by the discharge summary producing system.

The following ANZIC codes appear to be probable candidates:

- ANZSIC 8401-6 Hospital (except psychiatric or veterinary hospitals
- ANZSIC 8599-4 Community Health Facility
- ANZSIC 7561 General Health Administration