

nehta

CDA Package

Version 1.0 — 30 November 2011

National E-Health Transition Authority Ltd

Level 25

56 Pitt Street

Sydney, NSW, 2000

Australia.

www.nehta.gov.au

Disclaimer

NEHTA makes the information and other material ("Information") in this document available in good faith but without any representation or warranty as to its accuracy or completeness. NEHTA cannot accept any responsibility for the consequences of any use of the Information. As the Information is of a general nature only, it is up to any person using or relying on the Information to ensure that it is accurate, complete and suitable for the circumstances of its use.

Document Control

This document is maintained in electronic form. The current revision of this document is located on the NEHTA Web site and is uncontrolled in printed form. It is the responsibility of the user to verify that this copy is of the latest revision.

Copyright © 2011, NEHTA.

This document contains information which is protected by copyright. All Rights Reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems—without the permission of NEHTA. All copies of this document must include the copyright and other information contained on this page.

Table of contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Table of contents | iii |
| Document information | v |
| Release | v |
| Revision history | v |
| 1 Introduction | 6 |
| 1.1 Background | 6 |
| 1.2 Purpose | 6 |
| 1.3 Scope | 6 |
| 1.4 References | 7 |
| 1.4.1 Normative References | 7 |
| 1.4.2 Informative References | 7 |
| 1.5 Definitions, acronyms, abbreviations | 8 |
| 1.5.1 Acronyms and abbreviations | 8 |
| 1.5.2 XML namespaces | 8 |
| 1.5.3 Terminology | 8 |
| 1.6 Overview | 8 |
| 2 Profiles | 9 |
| 2.1 Overview | 9 |
| 2.2 Base CDA Package | 9 |
| 2.2.1 Introduction | 9 |
| 2.2.2 Profiling clinical packages | 9 |
| 2.2.3 Root | 9 |
| 2.2.4 Package attachments | 9 |
| 2.2.5 eSignature | 10 |
| 2.2.6 Repository metadata | 10 |
| 2.3 Unsigned CDA package | 11 |
| 2.3.1 Introduction | 11 |
| 2.3.2 Profiling the base CDA package | 11 |
| 2.3.3 eSignature restriction | 11 |
| 2.4 Signed CDA package | 11 |
| 2.4.1 Introduction | 11 |
| 2.4.2 Profiling the base CDA package | 11 |
| 2.4.3 eSignature restriction | 11 |
| 3 CDA XML document | 12 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 12 |
| 3.2 CDA XML document | 12 |
| 3.2.1 Conformance points | 12 |
| 3.3 Attachments | 12 |
| 3.3.1 Introduction | 12 |
| 3.3.2 Definitions | 12 |
| 3.3.3 Conformance points | 13 |
| 3.3.4 Informative notes | 13 |
| 4 eSignature | 15 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 15 |
| 4.2 Contents | 15 |
| 4.2.1 XSP signed container | 15 |
| 4.2.2 Payload | 15 |
| 4.3 Example | 17 |
| 5 Repository metadata | 18 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 18 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 5.2 | General | 18 |
| 5.2.1 | Conformance points | 18 |
| 5.2.2 | Informative notes | 18 |
| 5.3 | Submission Set Metadata..... | 20 |
| 5.3.1 | Values from the CDA XML document: mandatory | 20 |
| 5.3.2 | Values from the CDA XML document: optional | 20 |
| 5.3.3 | Values new to repository metadata: mandatory | 21 |
| 5.4 | Document Entry Metadata: root part..... | 22 |
| 5.4.1 | Values from the CDA XML document: mandatory | 22 |
| 5.4.2 | Values from the CDA XML document: optional | 22 |
| 5.4.3 | Values that are new to the repository metadata..... | 23 |
| 5.5 | Document Entry Metadata: non-root parts | 24 |
| 5.5.1 | Values that are new to the repository metadata..... | 24 |
| 5.6 | Permitted values..... | 26 |
| 5.6.1 | Content type and class codes | 26 |
| 5.6.2 | Document Confidentiality | 26 |
| 5.6.3 | Document Format | 27 |
| 5.6.4 | Healthcare Facility Type..... | 27 |
| 5.6.5 | Practice Setting Code | 28 |
| 6 | XDM-ZIP representation | 33 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 33 |
| 6.2 | Conformance points | 33 |
| 6.3 | Informative notes | 33 |
| 6.3.1 | Example | 33 |
| | Appendix A: Schemas | 35 |
| A.1 | Signatory metadata | 35 |
| | Appendix B: Examples | 36 |
| B.1 | Repository metadata | 36 |

Document information

Release

Status: Final
Date: 2011-11-30
Author: Hoylen Sue
Owner: John McMillan

Revision history

| Version | Date | Comments |
|---------|------------|---------------|
| 1.0 | 2011-11-30 | First release |

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Clinical information can comprise of a single CDA XML document and associated attachments.

For example:

- A pathology report comprising of a CDA XML document and images that are packaged attachments to that CDA XML document.
- A discharge summary comprising of a CDA XML document with a packaged attachment that is a pathology report, where the pathology report comprises of another CDA XML document and an image as its packaged attachment.
- A prescription comprising of a CDA XML document, a packaged attachment and a digital signature. The digital signature is a separate byte stream that signs the CDA XML document.
- A discharge summary comprising of a CDA XML document, a packaged attachment image, a packaged attachment prescription and a digital signature. The digital signature is a separate byte stream that signs the discharge summary CDA XML document. The prescription comprises of multiple byte streams.

1.2 Purpose

This specification defines three logical models for clinical data that consists of a single CDA XML document and related byte streams. This specification also defines a possible serialized representation for those logical models.

This specification is a *clinical package profile* of the "Clinical Packages 1.0" [PKG2011]. Readers need to be familiar with [PKG2011] to understand this specification.

Specifications that use the logical models defined in this specification can define additional profiles of them to suit their requirements.

1.3 Scope

This specification defines logical models that contain a mandatory CDA XML document, optional package attachments and optional repository metadata. Depending on the logical model, eSignatures may or may not be present.

It is out of scope for this specification to define:

- The contents of the CDA XML document (e.g. pathology report, discharge summary, referral or electronic prescription).
- Whether package attachments are present or not and their contents.
- The party that is identified in the eSignature.
- The certificate used to create the eSignature.
- Whether the repository metadata is present or not.

It is expected profiles of these logical models will address these topics.

It is also out of scope for this specification to define how *CDA packages* are created or how they are processed. In particular, this specification does not specify how a processor handles a non-conformant *CDA package*. It is expected that specifications which uses *CDA packages* will specify the appropriate behaviour for dealing with non-conformant *CDA packages*.

1.4 References

1.4.1 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

- [ATS5821—2010] Standards Australia, *ATS 5821—2010 E-Health XML Secured Payload Profiles*, 5 March 2010.
- [CDA] HL7, Clinical Document Architecture.
- [ITI-TF2a] IHE, IT Infrastructure Technical Framework, Volume 2a: Transactions Part A—Sections 3.1-3.28, Revision 8.0, 19 August 2011
http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/upload/IHE_ITI_TF_Rev8-0_Vol2a_FT_2011-08-19.pdf
- [ITI-TF3] IHE, IT Infrastructure Technical Framework, Volume 3: Cross-Transaction Specifications and Content Specifications, Revision 8.0, 19 August 2011
http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/upload/IHE_ITI_TF_Rev8-0_Vol3_FT_2011-08-19.pdf
- [PK2004] PKWare Inc, *.ZIP File Format Specification*, version 6.2.0, 26 April 2004.
http://www.pkware.com/documents/APPNOTE/APPNOTE_6.2.0.txt
- [PKG2011] NEHTA, *Clinical Packages 1.0*, 25 November 2011.
- [RFC3986] IETF, *RFC 3986: Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax*, T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, January 2005.
- [XDM2006] IHE, IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement 2006-2007: Cross-Enterprise Document Media Interchange (XDM), Trial implementation version, 15 August 2006.
- [XDS2011] IHE, *IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement: XDS Metadata Update*, Trial Implementation, 19 August 2011
http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/upload/IHE_ITI_Suppl_XDS_Metadata_Update_Rev1-2_TI_2011-08-19.pdf
- [XSD2004a] W3C, *XML Schema Part 1: Structures*, Second Edition, W3C Recommendation, 28 October 2004.
- [XSD2004b] W3C, *XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes*, Second Edition, W3C Recommendation, 28 October 2004.
- [XML2008] W3C, *Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0*, Fifth Edition, W3C Recommendation, 26 November 2008.

1.4.2 Informative References

- [RFC2119] IETF, *RFC 2119: Keywords for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*, S. Bradner, March 1997,
<http://ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

1.5 Definitions, acronyms, abbreviations

1.5.1 Acronyms and abbreviations

| | |
|-----|--------------------------------|
| CDA | Clinical Document Architecture |
| XML | Extensible Markup Language |
| URI | Uniform Resource Identifier |

1.5.2 XML namespaces

| | |
|-----|---|
| cda | urn:hl7-ort:v3 |
| ds | http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmlsig# |
| rim | urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:xsd:rim:3.0 |
| s | http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/cdaPackage/xsd/eSignature/2012 |
| sp | http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/xsp/xsd/SignedPayload/2010 |

1.5.3 Terminology

The keywords **SHALL**, **SHALL NOT**, **SHOULD** and **SHOULD NOT** in this document are to be interpreted as described in IETF's RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

1.6 Overview

The three *CDA package* profiles are specified in section 2.

The CDA XML document is profiled in section 3.

The syntax for an "eSignature" is specified in section 4.

The syntax for "repository metadata" is specified in section 5.

The XDM-ZIP representation is specified in section 6.

XML Schemas are specified in Appendix A.

An example of "repository metadata" can be found in Appendix B.

2 Profiles

2.1 Overview

This section defines three profiles of *clinical packages*.

CDA package profiles are expected to explicitly profile one of the three profiles, depending on their eSignature requirements:

- Base CDA package when eSignatures are optional.
- Unsigned CDA package when eSignatures are forbidden.
- Signed CDA package when eSignatures are mandatory.

All three profiles are logical models. They profile the *logical clinical packages*.

The term “CDA package” refers to the *base CDA package* and any profiles of it (which includes the *unsigned CDA package* and *signed CDA package*).

2.2 Base CDA Package

2.2.1 Introduction

Informally, a *base CDA package* is a logical model that consists of *members* and *distinguishers* for the following:

- a single mandatory root CDA XML document;
- optional and repeatable *packaged attachments*;
- optional and repeatable eSignatures; and
- optional repository metadata.

This profile can be used when the eSignature is optional.

2.2.2 Profiling clinical packages

- M 1** A *base CDA package* shall conform to a *logical clinical package* as defined by *Clinical Package* [PKG2011].

2.2.3 Root

2.2.3.1 Definition

A *root entry* is a *distinguisher* with the *distinguisher type* “http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/cdaPackage/root/1.0”.

2.2.3.2 Conformance points

- M 2** A *base CDA package* shall contain one and only one *root entry*.
- M 3** The *root entry* shall be associated with a *part* whose *byte stream* conforms to the “CDA XML document” as defined in section 3.

2.2.4 Package attachments

2.2.4.1 Definition

A *base CDA package* handles two types of *packaged attachments* to the root CDA XML document:

- *atomic packaged attachments* which are a single *byte stream*; and
- *CDA package packaged attachments* which are a *base CDA package*.

The formal definition of *packaged attachments* can be found in Clause 3.3.

2.2.4.2 Conformance points

- M 4** Each *atomic packaged attachment* in a *base CDA package* shall correspond to a distinct *part* in the *base CDA package*.
- M 5** Each *base CDA package packaged attachment* in a *base CDA package* shall correspond to a distinct *referenced package* in the *base CDA package*.

2.2.4.3 Informative notes

Because conformance point [M 4] describes the *part* as “distinct,” that means that *part* cannot be the same as the root CDA XML document part, any eSignature *part* or be a *part* that corresponds to another *atomic packaged attachment*.

Because conformance point [M 5] describes the *referenced package* as “distinct,” that means that *referenced package* cannot be a *referenced package* that corresponds to another *CDA package packaged attachment*.

CDA package processors can identify the *packaged attachments* by parsing the CDA XML document and extracting *identifiers* from it.

2.2.5 eSignature

2.2.5.1 Definition

An *eSignature entry* is a *distinguisher* with the *distinguisher type* “http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/cdaPackage/eSignature/1.0”.

2.2.5.2 Conformance points

- M 6** A *base CDA package* shall contain zero or more *eSignature entries*.
- M 7** An *eSignature entry* shall have a value that is the *part identifier* of a *part* whose *byte stream* conforms to the “eSignature” syntax as defined in section 4.

2.2.5.3 Informative notes

A *base CDA package* allows zero or more *eSignature entries*.

This can be further constrained by additional profiles. For example, the *unsigned CDA package* (section 2.3) constrains this by forbidding *eSignature entries* (always zero); the *signed CDA package* (section 2.4) constrains this by requiring there always be at least one an *eSignature entry* (one or more).

2.2.6 Repository metadata

2.2.6.1 Definition

A *repository metadata entry* is a *distinguisher* with the *distinguisher type* “http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/cdaPackage/repositoryMetadata/1.0”.

2.2.6.2 Conformance points

- M 8** A *base CDA package* shall contain zero or one *repository metadata entry*.
- M 9** A *repository metadata entry* shall have a value that is the *part identifier* of a *part* whose *byte stream* conforms to the “repository metadata” syntax as defined in section 5.

2.2.6.3 Informative notes

A *base CDA package* allows an optional *repository metadata entry*. It can be constrained by additional profiles that make it mandatory or forbidden.

2.3 Unsigned CDA package

2.3.1 Introduction

Informally, an *unsigned CDA package* is a logical model that consists of *members* and *distinguishers* for the following:

- a single mandatory root CDA XML document; and
- optional and repeatable *packaged attachments*.

This profile can be used when the eSignature is forbidden.

2.3.2 Profiling the base CDA package

- M 10** A *logical unsigned CDA package* shall conform to the conformance points for a *base CDA package* (section 2.2).

2.3.3 eSignature restriction

- M 11** A *logical unsigned CDA package* shall have zero *eSignature entries*.

2.4 Signed CDA package

2.4.1 Introduction

Informally, a *signed CDA package* is a logical model that consists of *members* and *distinguishers* for the following:

- a single mandatory root CDA XML document;
- optional and repeatable *packaged attachments*; and
- one or more *eSignatures*.

This profile can be used when an eSignature is mandatory.

2.4.2 Profiling the base CDA package

- M 12** A *signed CDA package* shall conform to the conformance points for a *base CDA package* (section 2.2).

2.4.3 eSignature restriction

- M 13** A *signed CDA package* shall have one or more *eSignature entries*.

3 CDA XML document

3.1 Introduction

This section defines the syntax of a “CDA XML document” that is used as the root.

3.2 CDA XML document

3.2.1 Conformance points

- M 14** A “CDA XML document” shall be an XML document that is conforms to the CDA specification [CDA].

3.3 Attachments

3.3.1 Introduction

An instance of a CDA XML document can have attachments associated with it.

There are internal and external forms of attachments in CDA. In the internal form, the attachment is base64 encoded and included in the CDA XML document. In the external form, the attachment is referenced using elements of the ED datatype elements. The CDA specification does not stipulate where that reference refers to: it could be remote (e.g. on a Web server, in a well-known location or in local storage) or packaged with the CDA XML document.

Attachments can also be verified by providing a digest of it. The ED datatype in CDA has optional `integrityCheckAlgorithm` and `integrityCheck` attributes which can be used for this purpose.

3.3.2 Definitions

The *CDA package* profiles only handles *packaged attachments*.

A *packaged attachment* is defined as an attachment that:

- it is external to the CDA XML document;
- it is included in the same *CDA package* as the CDA XML document; and
- it is referenced appropriately (e.g. has a SHA-1 digest).

The *CDA package* supports two types of *packaged attachments*:

- *Atomic packaged attachments*: where the attachment is a single byte stream. For example, a JPEG image.
- *CDA package packaged attachments*: where the attachment itself is a *signed CDA package*. Note: it is not possible to have a package attachment that is an *unsigned CDA package*.

An “ED-element” is an XML element of the “ED” datatype from CDA. There are several elements in CDA that have this datatype. Particular profiles might define whether these elements can appear and how they are used.

3.3.3 Conformance points

The following conformance points define *packaged attachments* and how they are represented inside the CDA XML document:

- M 15** If a "CDA XML document" contains a *packaged attachment*, it shall represent the *packaged attachment* using an *ED-element*.
- M 16** That *ED-element* shall have an `integrityCheckAlgorithm` attribute whose value is "SHA-1".
- M 17** That *ED-element* shall contain a single `cda:reference` element.
- M 18** That `cda:reference` element shall have a `value` attribute containing a URI-reference as defined by *Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax* [RFC3986].
- M 19** A CDA XML document shall not contain any *ED-element* that satisfies [M 16], [M 17], [M 18] and has a `cda:reference` child element with a `value` attribute with that is equivalent to any *identifier* in the *package* that the CDA XML document is a member of, unless that *ED-element* represents a *packaged attachment*.

3.3.3.1 Atomic packaged attachment

- M 20** If the *packaged attachment* is an *atomic packaged attachment*, that *ED-element* shall have an `integrityCheck` attribute whose value is the SHA-1 digest of the *byte stream*.
- M 21** If the *packaged attachment* is an *atomic packaged attachment*, the *ED-element* shall have a `mediaType` attribute whose value is an agreed Internet media type of the *byte stream* (or the value "application/octet-string" if there is no agreed value).

3.3.3.2 CDA package packaged attachment

- M 22** If the *packaged attachment* is a *CDA package packaged attachment*, that *E-element* shall have an `integrityCheck` attribute whose value is the SHA-1 digest of the *byte stream* of any one *eSignature part* inside the attachment *CDA package*.
- M 23** If the *packaged attachment* is a *CDA package packaged attachment*, the *ED-element* shall have a `mediaType` attribute whose value is "application/x.electronichealth.cda.package".

Editorial note: It is expected that an Internet Media Type will be officially registered with IANA and that registered value will be used. The above media type is an unregistered media type.

3.3.4 Informative notes

It is outside the scope of this specification to define how a processor processes *ED-elements* in the CDA XML document that does not represent a *packaged attachment*. For example, it does not define how *ED-elements* that do not have a digest are processed. If used, the processing of those types of attachments will have to be defined by another specification. Similarly, this specification does not define whether the internal form attachments are permitted or not.

If it is permitted, the use of *ED-elements* in the CDA XML document that does not represent a *packaged attachment* needs to be specified by a profile of the *base CDA package*.

3.3.4.1 Example

The following is an example of a CDA XML document containing two *packaged attachments*. For these to be a *packaged attachments* in the same *CDA package* there has to be a *part* with the *part identifier* of "a19605b5-6c76-4608-9046-86c417f1e43c" and a *referenced package* with the *package identifier* of "cce9cf64-ee6c-4dfb-94d1-090122e9f71c".

This example uses the `cda:value` element, which is of the ED datatype. Note: there are other elements in CDA that are also of the ED datatype.

If these *parts* do not exist, then they are not a *packaged attachment* and how they are processed is outside the scope of this specification.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<ClinicalDocument xmlns="urn:h17-org:v3">
...
<value mediaType="image/jpeg"
  integrityCheckAlgorithm="SHA-1"
  integrityCheck="0NyFNJ74XRAJjvsihGPqGePn0gU=">
  <reference value="a19605b5-6c76-4608-9046-86c417f1e43c"/>
</value>
...
<value mediaType="application/x.electronichealth.cda.package"
  integrityCheckAlgorithm="SHA-1"
  integrityCheck="iRvxDejGCiNiRbIH039C4nQ8J58=">
  <reference value="cce9cf64-ee6c-4dfb-94d1-090122e9f71c"/>
</value>
...
</ClinicalDocument>
```

4 eSignature

4.1 Introduction

This section defines the syntax of an “eSignature.” It a profile of the “Signed Container” from [ATS 5821—2010] that has a specific payload.

The primary purpose of an “eSignature” is to represent an “electronic signature” that attests to the contents of the root CDA XML document (and indirectly its *packaged attachments*). It contains a digital signature, so in addition to the attestation it is also a mechanism to prevent forgery and to detect tampering of that assertion and the data being asserted.

The concept of an “electronic signature” and “approver” comes from the “Electronic Signature Requirements” [ESR2011]. This “eSignature” is one possible implementation of an “electronic signature.”

4.2 Contents

4.2.1 XSP signed container

4.2.1.1 Conformance points

M 24 An “eSignature” shall be an XML document that conforms to a *Signed Container* as defined by [ATS 5821—2010] with the root element of that XML document being the `sp:signedPayload` element.

M 25 This Signed Payload shall contain one and only one `ds:Signature` element.

4.2.1.2 Informative notes

Although an XSP Signed Payload can contain one or more digital signatures, it is being used as a single electronic signature made by one party. Therefore, there will always be only one digital signature in it.

4.2.2 Payload

4.2.2.1 Conformance points

4.2.2.1.1 *sp:signedPayloadData*

M 26 The `sp:signedPayloadData` element in this *Signed Payload* shall contain exactly one `s:eSignature` element as defined by the XML Schema for an eSignature in Appendix A.1.

4.2.2.1.2 *Manifest*

M 27 In an eSignature, the `ds:Manifest` element shall contain a single `ds:Reference` element with its URI attribute set to the *part identifier* of the root CDA XML document *part* and using the SHA-1 digest algorithm on its unmodified *byte stream*.

M 28 This `ds:Manifest` element shall contain one and only one `ds:Reference` element with its URI attribute set to the *part identifier* of the signatory *part* and using the SHA-1 digest algorithm on its unmodified *byte stream*.

4.2.2.1.3 *approver*

M 29 In an eSignature, the `s:approver` element shall contain values that can identify a person that the eSignature creator claims was the “approver” of the eSignature.

4.2.2.1.4 *signingTime*

- M 30** In an eSignature, the `s:signingTime` element shall contain a time of which the eSignature creator claims to have performed the signing process.
- M 31** In an eSignature, the value in the `s:signingTime` element shall include an explicit timezone.

4.2.2.2 Informative notes

4.2.2.2.1 *eSignature*

The eSignature is an XML document whose root element is the Signed Payload Container from [ATS 5821—2010]. There is no conformance point specifying the encoding of the digital signature XML document, but it is suggested that only UTF-8 or UTF-16 be used.

The Signed Payload Container is designed to sign the XML element that is in the `sp:signedPayloadData` element.

4.2.2.2.2 *Manifest*

The `ds:Manifest` element is defined in the XML Digital Signatures specification for applications to use. In the digital signature part, it is used to reference the *root XML document* being signed. So even though the Signed Payload Container is not designed to sign external data the `ds:Manifest` element is used to reference external data, so that the end result is a digital signature over external data.

This specification constrains the `ds:Manifest` element to have exactly one `ds:Reference` (unless others are allowed by additional profiles), and that `ds:Reference` element to use the SHA-1 digest algorithm. That digest is calculated on the serialized representation of the root CDA XML document. That is, it is treated as a byte stream—XML canonicalization is not performed on it.

The order of the `ds:Reference` elements inside the `ds:Manifest` element is not significant.

4.2.2.2.3 *Approver*

The [ESR2011] defines the approver as a person that is responsible for approving the contents (i.e. cannot be a device or organisation).

The approver needs to be identified inside the clinical contents according to [ESR2011] which could imply that they are also identified inside the CDA XML document. The contents of the CDA XML document are outside the scope of this specification. Therefore, this specification makes no requirements for an approver to be identified inside the CDA XML document or whether that approver has to be the same as the signatory person. Other profiles that define the contents of the CDA XML document could make such requirements.

4.2.2.2.4 *Other elements*

The eSignature XML Schema allows for zero or more arbitrary elements to support extensions. For example, profiles could define additional elements to represent commitment types, signature policies and notarized timestamps.

Implementations are expected to ignore elements that it doesn't recognise.

4.2.2.2.5 *Attachments*

The *eSignature* does not directly sign attachments. The integrity of attachments, if any, is handled indirectly through the `integrityCheckAlgorithm` and `integrityCheck` attributes on CDA references (see Clause 3.3).

4.3 Example

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>

<sp:signedPayload
  xmlns:sp="http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/xsp/xsd/SignedPayload/2010"
  xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
  <sp:signatures>
    <ds:Signature>
      <!-- digital signature as profiled by the XSP Signed Container -->
      ...
    </ds:Signature>
  </sp:signatures>

  <sp:signedPayloadData id="x">

    <s:eSignature
      xmlns:s="http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/cdaPackage/xsd/eSignature/2012">

      <ds:Manifest>
        <ds:Reference URI="cda-xml-document-part-identifier">
          <ds:DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
          <ds:DigestValue>...</ds:DigestValue>
        </ds:Reference>
      </ds:Manifest>

      <s:approver>
        <s:personId>
          http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/id/hi/hpii/1.0/800361?????????
        </s:personId>
        <s:personName>
          <s:nameTitle>Dr</s:nameTitle>
          <s:givenName>John</s:givenName>
          <s:familyName>Smith</s:familyName>
        </s:personName>
      </s:approver>

      <s:signingTime>2012-12-31T23:59:59Z</s:signingTime>

    </s:eSignature>

  </sp:signedPayloadData>
</sp:signedPayload>
```

5 Repository metadata

5.1 Introduction

This section defines the syntax of "repository metadata." It is a profile of the XDS repository metadata [XDS2011].

A "repository metadata" is used for data that a repository could use to process the *CDA package*. Some of its metadata deliberately duplicates data found inside the CDA XML document, while some of it does not come from the CDA XML document.

This part is optional for a *CDA package*. It is expected that *CDA package profiles* for use with repositories would make this part mandatory, but remains optional when it is not used with repositories.

In this section, the term "attribute" refers to a metadata attribute, the terminology used in [ITI-TF3]. It does not refer to an XML attribute.

5.2 General

5.2.1 Conformance points

- M 32** A "repository metadata" shall be an XML document that conforms to section 3.14.4.1.2.7 Document Definition Metadata of [ITI-TF2] and the XML Schema at <http://docs.oasis-open.org/regrep/v3.0/schema/>
- M 33** A "repository metadata" shall contain exactly one Submission Set Metadata block (SSM block) that conforms to the conformance points in Clause 5.3.
- M 34** A "repository metadata" shall contain exactly one Document Entry Metadata block for the root part (DEM-R block) that conforms to the conformance points in Clause 5.4.
- M 35** A "repository metadata" shall contain exactly one Document Entry Metadata block for a packaged attachment (DEM-A block) for each *packaged attachment* that conforms to the conformance points in Clause 5.5.
- M 36** A "repository metadata" shall not contain any other metadata block other than the ones defined by conformance points M 33, M 34 and M 35.

5.2.2 Informative notes

This XML document contains a sequence of `rim:Identifiable` elements, each corresponding to one of the following:

- The submission set as a whole. The contents of this `rim:Identifiable` element is specified in Clause 5.3. The metadata attributes in this block come from the `XDSSubmissionSet` in Section 4.1.8 "Submission Set Metadata" of [ITI-TF3].
- The CDA XML document part. The contents of this `rim:Identifiable` element is specified in Clause 5.4. The metadata attributes in this block come from the `XDSDocumentEntry` in Section 4.1.7 "Document Definition Metadata" of [ITI-TF3].
- The cryptographic signature part, the signatory part and each (if any) *packaged attachments*. If these `rim:Identifiable` elements are present, their values are specified in Clause 5.5. The metadata attributes in this block also come from the `XDSDocumentEntry` in Section 4.1.7 "Document Definition Metadata" of [ITI-TF3].

Example structure of a "repository metadata":

```

<?xml version="1.0"?>
<e:SubmitObjectsRequest
  xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:xsd:rim:3.0"
  xmlns:e="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:xsd:lcm:3.0">
  <RegistryObjectList>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="ExtrinsicObjectType" id="1" ... >
      <!-- details of Root CDA document ... -->
    </Identifiable>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="ExtrinsicObjectType" id="2" ... >
      <!-- .. details of Signature document ... -->
    </Identifiable>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="ExtrinsicObjectType" id="3" ... >
      <!-- .. details of attached documents ... >
    </Identifiable>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="RegistryPackageType" id="4" ... >
      <!-- ... details of Submission Set ... >
    </Identifiable>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="ClassificationType" id="5"
      classifiedObject="4" ... Classification of Submission Set ... >
    <Identifiable xsi:type="AssociationType1"
      sourceObject="4" targetObject="1" ...>
      < ... Association: Submission Set id = 4 hasMember document id = 1>
    </Identifiable>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="AssociationType1"
      sourceObject="4" targetObject="2" ...>
      < ... Association: Submission Set id = 4 hasMember document id = 2>
    </Identifiable>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="AssociationType1"
      sourceObject="4" targetObject="3" ...>
      < ... Association: Submission Set id = 4 HasMember document id = 3>
    </Identifiable>
    <Identifiable xsi:type="AssociationType1"
      sourceObject="4" targetObject="1" ...>
      < ... Association: Document id = 2 signs document id = 1>
    </Identifiable>
  </RegistryObjectList>
</e:SubmitObjectsRequest>

```

5.3 Submission Set Metadata

5.3.1 Values from the CDA XML document: mandatory

5.3.1.1 Introduction

The following attributes are always mandatory and must have the same values as the indicated values from the CDA XML document.

Note: this implies that these values are mandatory for the CDA XML document.

5.3.1.2 Conformance points

5.3.1.2.1 *patientId*

M 37 An SSM block shall contain exactly one *patientId* attribute.

M 38 The *patientID* attribute in the SSM block shall have the same value as this element from the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:recordTarget/cda:patientRole/
cda:patient/ext:asEntityIdentifier/
ext:id[@assigningAuthorityName='IHI']/@root
```

in the HL7v2 CX format.

5.3.1.2.2 *sourceId*

M 39 An SSM block shall contain exactly one *sourceId* attribute.

M 40 The *sourceId* attribute in the SSM block shall have the same value as this element from the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:author/cda:assignedAuthor/
cda:representedOrganization/ext:asEntityIdentifier/
ext:id[@assigningAuthorityName='HPI-0']/@root
```

in the HL7v2 XON format.

5.3.2 Values from the CDA XML document: optional

5.3.2.1 Introduction

The following attributes are mandatory if their corresponding value is defined in the CDA XML document. They are prohibited if their corresponding value is not defined in the CDA XML document.

5.3.2.2 Conformance points

5.3.2.2.1 *author:Institution*

M 41 If the value (defined in M 43) exists in the CDA XML document, an SSM block shall contain exactly one *author:Institution* attribute.

M 42 If the value (defined in M 43) does not exist in the CDA XML document, an SSM block shall not contain any *author:Institution* attribute.

M 43 The *author:Institution* attribute in the SSM block shall have the same value as this element in the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:author/cda:assignedAuthor/
cda:representedOrganization/ext:asEntityIdentifier/
ext:id[@assigningAuthorityName='HPI-0']/@root
```

in the HL7v2 XON format.

5.3.2.2.2 *author:authorPerson*

- M 44** If the value (defined in M 46) exists in the CDA XML document, an SSM block shall contain exactly one `author:authorPerson` attribute.
- M 45** If the value (defined in M 46) does not exist in the CDA XML document, an SSM block shall not contain any `author:authorPerson` attribute.
- M 46** The `author:authorPerson` attribute in the SSM block shall have the same value as this element in the CDA XML document:

```

/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:author/cda:assignedAuthor/
cda:assignedPerson/ext:asEntityIdentifier/ext:id[@assigningA
uthorityName='HPI-I']/@root
+ demographics (family,given,title,prefix)
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:author/cda:assignedAuthor/
cda:assignedPerson/cda:name

```

in the HL7v2 XCN format.

5.3.3 Values new to repository metadata: mandatory

5.3.3.1 Introduction

The following attributes are mandatory, but don't correspond to any values inside the CDA XML document.

5.3.3.2 Conformance points

5.3.3.2.1 *contentTypeCode*

- M 47** An SSM block shall contain exactly one `contentTypeCode` attribute.
- M 48** The `contentTypeCode` attribute in the SSM block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.1.

5.3.3.2.2 *entryUUID*

- M 49** An SSM block shall contain exactly one `entryUUID` attribute.
- M 50** The `entryUUID` attribute in the SSM block shall have a unique UUID value.

5.3.3.2.3 *submissionTime*

- M 51** An SSM block shall contain exactly one `submissionTime` attribute.
- M 52** The `submissionTime` attribute in the SSM block shall have contain same value as the `s:signingTime` element from the *eSignature* part.

5.3.3.2.4 *uniqueID*

- M 53** An SSM block shall contain exactly one `uniqueID` attribute.
- M 54** The `uniqueId` attribute in the SSM block shall have the same UUID value as the `entryUUID`.

5.4 Document Entry Metadata: root part

5.4.1 Values from the CDA XML document: mandatory

5.4.1.1 Conformance points

5.4.1.1.1 *creationTime*

M 55 A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *creationTime* attribute.

M 56 The *creationTime* attribute in a DEM-R block shall have the same value as this element from the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:effectiveTime
```

5.4.1.1.2 *languageCode*

M 57 A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *languageCode* attribute.

M 58 The *languageCode* attribute in a DEM-R block shall have the same value as this element from the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:languageCode/@code
```

5.4.1.1.3 *patientId*

M 59 A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *patientId* attribute.

M 60 The *patientId* attribute in a DEM-R block shall have the same value as this element from the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:recordTarget/cda:patientRole/  
cda:patient/ext:asEntityIdentifier/  
ext:id[@assigningAuthorityName='IHI']/@root
```

in the HL7v2 CX format.

5.4.1.1.4 *sourcePatientId*

M 61 A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *sourcePatientId* attribute.

M 62 The *sourcePatientId* attribute in a DEM-R block shall have the same value as this element from the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:recordTarget/cda:patientRole/  
cda:id
```

in the HL7v2 CX format using both @root and @extension value.

5.4.1.1.5 *uniqueId*

M 63 A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *uniqueId* attribute.

M 64 The *uniqueId* attribute shall have the same value as this element from the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:id/@root
```

5.4.2 Values from the CDA XML document: optional

The following attributes are mandatory if their corresponding value is defined in the CDA XML document. They are prohibited if their corresponding value is not defined in the CDA XML document.

5.4.2.1 Conformance points

5.4.2.1.1 *author:Institution*

- M 65** If the value (defined in M 67) exists in the CDA XML document, a DEM-R block shall contain exactly one `author:Institution` attribute.
- M 66** If the value (defined in M 67) does not exist in the CDA XML document, a DEM-R block shall not contain any `author:Institution` attribute.
- M 67** The `author:Institution` attribute in the DEM-R block shall have the same value as this element in the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:author/cda:assignedAuthor/
  cda:representedOrganization/ext:asEntityIdentifier/
  ext:id[@assigningAuthorityName='HPI-O']/@root
```

in the HL7v2 XON format.

5.4.2.1.2 *author:authorPerson*

- M 68** If the value (defined in M 70) exists in the CDA XML document, a DEM-R block shall contain exactly one `author:authorPerson` attribute.
- M 69** If the value (defined in M 70) does not exist in the CDA XML document, a DEM-R block shall not contain any `author:authorPerson` attribute.
- M 70** The `author:authorPerson` attribute in the DEM-R block shall have the same value as this element in the CDA XML document:

```
/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:author/cda:assignedAuthor/

  cda:assignedPerson/ext:asEntityIdentifier/ext:id[@assigningA
  uthorityName='HPI-I']/@root
+ demographics (family,given,title,prefix)

/cda:ClinicalDocument/cda:author/cda:assignedAuthor/
  cda:assignedPerson/cda:name
```

in the HL7v2 XCN format.

5.4.3 Values that are new to the repository metadata

5.4.3.1 Introduction

The following attributes are mandatory, but don't correspond to any values inside the CDA XML document.

5.4.3.2 Conformance points

5.4.3.2.1 *classCode*

- M 71** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one `classCode` attribute.
- M 72** The `classCode` attribute in the DEM-R block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.1.

5.4.3.2.2 *confidentialityCode*

- M 73** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one `confidentialityCode` attribute.
- M 74** The `confidentialityCode` attribute in a DEM-R block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.2.

5.4.3.2.3 *entryUUID*

- M 75** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *entryUUID* attribute.
- M 76** The *entryUUID* attribute in a DEM-R block shall contain a new unique UUID value.

5.4.3.2.4 *healthcareFacilityTypeCode*

- M 77** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *healthcareFacilityTypeCode* attribute.
- M 78** The *healthcareFacilityTypeCode* attribute in a DEM-R block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.4.

5.4.3.2.5 *contentType*

- M 79** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *contentType* attribute.
- M 80** The *contentType* attribute in a DEM-R block shall contain the value "application/xml".

5.4.3.2.6 *practiceSettingCode*

- M 81** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *practiceSettingCode* attribute.
- M 82** The *practiceSettingCode* attribute in a DEM-R block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.5.

5.4.3.2.7 *typeCode*

- M 83** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *typeCode* attribute.
- M 84** The *typeCode* attribute in a DEM-R block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.1.

5.4.3.2.8 *URI*

- M 85** A DEM-R block shall contain exactly one *URI* attribute.
- M 86** The *URI* attribute in a DEM-R block shall contain the ZIP item name of the CDA XML document (relative to the "repository metadata" ZIP item name) or the *part identifier* for the root CDA XML document *part* if there is no associated *ZIP item name*.

5.4.3.3 Informative notes

If representing the CDA package in the XDM-ZIP representation, this value will always be "CDA_ROOT.XML".

If representing the *CDA package* in the CP-ZIP representation, the ZIP item name for the CDA XML document can be the same as the value of the *entryUUID*. This would make the *entryUUID*, *URI* and relative ZIP item name have the same value.

5.5 Document Entry Metadata: non-root parts

5.5.1 Values that are new to the repository metadata

5.5.1.1 Conformance points

5.5.1.1.1 *classCode*

- M 87** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *classCode* attribute.
- M 88** The *classCode* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.1.

5.5.1.1.2 *confidentialityCode*

- M 89** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *confidentialityCode* attribute.
- M 90** The *confidentialityCode* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.2.

5.5.1.1.3 *entryUUID*

- M 91** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *entryUUID* attribute.
- M 92** The *entryUUID* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain a new UUID value.

5.5.1.1.4 *healthcareFacilityTypeCode*

- M 93** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *healthcareFacilityTypeCode* attribute.
- M 94** The *healthcareFacilityTypeCode* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.4.

5.5.1.1.5 *mimeType*

- M 95** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *mimeType* attribute.
- M 96** The *mimeType* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain the same Internet media type code type for the *packaged attachment* as used inside the CDA XML document for it.

5.5.1.1.6 *practiceSettingCode*

- M 97** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *practiceSettingCode* attribute.
- M 98** The *practiceSettingCode* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain one of the values from the table in Clause 5.6.5.

5.5.1.1.7 *typeCode*

- M 99** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *typeCode* attribute.
- M 100** The *typeCode* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain one of the values from the table in 5.6.1.

5.5.1.1.8 *uniqueId*

- M 101** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *uniqueId* attribute.
- M 102** The *uniqueId* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain the *identifier* for the *part* or *referenced package* corresponding *packaged attachment*.

5.5.1.1.9 *URI*

- M 103** A DEM-A block shall contain exactly one *URI* attribute.
- M 104** The *URI* attribute in a DEM-A block shall contain *ZIP item name* of the part (relative to the "repository metadata" *ZIP item*) or the same value as the *uniqueId* if there is no corresponding *ZIP item*.

5.5.1.2 Informative notes

If representing the *CDA package* in the CP-ZIP representation, the *ZIP item name* for the *part* can be the same as the value of the *entryUUID*. This would make the *entryUUID*, *URI* and the relative *ZIP item name* have the same value.

If the *identifier* for the *packaged attachment* appears to be a UUID, it can be reused as the value of the *entryUUID*.

5.6 Permitted values

5.6.1 Content type and class codes

Code Value Set Definition

The below table lists the code set used for specifying the particular kind of document (e.g., Prescription, Discharge Summary, Report).

| Coding System | Coding System OID | Concept Code | Concept Name (Coding System Name) | NEHTA Package |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| LOINC | 2.16.840.1.113883.6.1 | 60591-5 | Patient Summary | Shared Health Summary |
| LOINC | 2.16.840.1.113883.6.1 | 57133-1 | Referral note | eReferral |
| LOINC | 2.16.840.1.113883.6.1 | 51852-2 | Letter | Specialist Letter |
| LOINC | 2.16.840.1.113883.6.1 | 18842-5 | Discharge Summarisation Note | Discharge Summary |
| LOINC | 2.16.840.1.113883.6.1 | 34133-9 | Summarisation of episode note | Event Summary |
| NCTIS | 1.2.36.1.2001.1001.101 | 100.16100 | e-Prescription | ePrescription |
| NCTIS | 1.2.36.1.2001.1001.101 | 100.16112 | Dispense Record | Dispense Record |
| NCTIS | 1.2.36.1.2001.1001.101 | 100.16285 | Prescription Request | Prescription Request |

5.6.2 Document Confidentiality

Code Value Set Definition

The below tables list the code set specifying the level of confidentiality of the XDS Document. These codes are specific to an XDS Affinity Domain. The PCEHR currently has no concept of confidentiality code on the submission of document and therefore is not applicable at the time this document was written.

| | |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| Coding System | SNOMED-CT |
| Coding System OID | 2.16.840.1.113883.6.96 |

| | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Concept Code | Concept Name (Coding System Name) |
| 385432009 | Not Applicable |

5.6.3 Document Format

Value Set Definition

A Code set representing the set of globally unique identifiers for document formats. When used in conjunction with the typeCode, it should provide sufficient information to allow any potential XDS Document Consumer to understand how to process the document.

It is intended that this formatCode shall be used as the TemplateId that will be used in the PCEHR Template Service. At the time this document was written, these codes were undefined. As such this field will default to the below until such time as this Value Set is defined.

| | |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| Coding System | SNOMED-CT |
| Coding System OID | 2.16.840.1.113883.6.96 |

| | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Concept Code | Concept Name (Coding System Name) |
| 385432009 | Not Applicable |

5.6.4 Healthcare Facility Type

Value Set Definition

This code set represents the type of organizational setting of the clinical encounter during which the documented act occurred. This list of codes has been drawn from the HI Service and is dynamic.

| | |
|---------------|--------|
| Coding System | ANZSIC |
|---------------|--------|

| Concept Code | Concept Name (Coding System Name) |
|--------------|--|
| 8601 | Aged Care Residential Services |
| 8591 | Ambulance Services |
| 7294 | Call Centre Operation |
| 7511 | Central Government Healthcare Administration |
| 8710 | Child Care Services |
| 8534 | Chiropractic and Osteopathic Services |
| 7000 | Computer System Design and Related Services |
| 6961 | Corporate Head Office Management Services |
| 5921 | Data Processing and Web Hosting Services |
| 8531 | Dental Services |
| 5922 | Electronic Information Storage Services |
| 7561 | General Health Administration |
| 8511 | General Practice |
| 9111 | Health and Fitness Centres and Gymnasias Operation |
| 6321 | Health Insurance |
| 8102 | Higher Education |
| 8401 | Hospitals (except Psychiatric Hospitals) |
| 5910 | Internet Service Providers and Web Search Portals |
| 7531 | Local Government Healthcare Administration |
| 8402 | Mental Health Hospitals |

| | |
|------|--|
| 7291 | Office Administrative Services |
| 8532 | Optometry and Optical Dispensing |
| 8539 | Other Allied Health Services |
| 8599 | Other Healthcare Services nec |
| 6999 | Other Professional, Scientific and Technical Services n.e.c. |
| 8609 | Other Residential Care Services |
| 8790 | Other Social Assistance Services |
| 8520 | Pathology and Diagnostic Imaging Services |
| 8533 | Physiotherapy Services |
| 7562 | Provision and administration of public health program |
| 4271 | Retail Pharmacy |
| 6910 | Scientific Research Services |
| 8512 | Specialist Medical Services |
| 7521 | State Government Healthcare Administration |
| 4623 | Transport |

5.6.5 Practice Setting Code

(Clinical Specialty) Value Set Definition

The code set specifying the clinical specialty where the act that resulted in the document was performed (e.g., Family Practice, Laboratory, Radiology). This list of codes has been drawn from the HI Service and is dynamic.

| | |
|---------------|--------|
| Coding System | ANZSIC |
|---------------|--------|

| Concept Code | Concept Name (Coding System Name) |
|--------------|--|
| 8539-1 | Acupuncture service |
| 8790-1 | Adoption service |
| 8790-2 | Adult day care centre operation |
| 8591-1 | Aerial ambulance service |
| 8790-3 | Aged care assistance service |
| 8790-4 | Alcoholics anonymous operation |
| 8512-1 | Allergy specialist service |
| 8591-2 | Ambulance service |
| 8512-2 | Anaesthetist service |
| 5921-1 | Application hosting |
| 5921-2 | Application service provision |
| 8539-2 | Aromatherapy service |
| 5921-3 | Audio and visual media streaming service |
| 8539-3 | Audiology service |
| 5921-4 | Automated data processing service |
| 8710-1 | Before and/or after school care service |
| 7291-1 | Billing and record-keeping service |
| 8599-1 | Blood bank operation |
| 7291-2 | Business administrative service |
| 8601-5 | Charitable hostels for the aged |
| 8710-2 | Child care service |
| 8710-3 | Childminding service |

| | |
|---------|---|
| 8401-1 | Children's Hospital |
| 8710-4 | Children's nursery operation (except preschool education) |
| 8710-6 | Children's play programs |
| 8534-1 | Chiropractic |
| 7291-3 | Clerical service |
| 8539-4 | Clinical psychology service |
| 8102-1 | Colleges of education operation |
| 8511-5 | Community Health Care |
| 8599-4 | Community Health Facility |
| 8599-8 | Community health facility %oÿ mental |
| 8599-9 | Community health facility %oÿ other |
| 8599-7 | Community health facility %oÿ substance abuse |
| 4271-2 | Community Pharmacy |
| 5922-1 | Computer data storage and retrieval service (except library service) |
| 7000-1 | Computer hardware consulting service |
| 5921-5 | Computer input preparation service |
| 7000-2 | Computer programming service |
| 7000-3 | Computer software consulting service |
| 5921-6 | Computer time leasing or renting |
| 5921-7 | Computer time sharing service |
| 8531-1 | Conservative dental service |
| 8532-1 | Contact lens dispensing |
| 6961-1 | Corporate head office management |
| 5921-8 | Data capture imaging service |
| 5921-9 | Data entry service (electronic) |
| 5921-10 | Data processing computer service |
| 8401-2 | Day Hospital nec |
| 8401-18 | Defence Force Hospital |
| 8531-2 | Dental hospital (out-patient) |
| 8539-5 | Dental hygiene service |
| 6321-1 | Dental insurance provision |
| 8531-3 | Dental practice service |
| 8531-4 | Dental practitioner service |
| 8531-5 | Dental surgery service |
| 8512-3 | Dermatology Service |
| 8520-1 | Diagnostic imaging service |
| 8539-6 | Dietician service |
| 8790-5 | Disabilities assistance service |
| 5921-11 | Disk and diskette conversion and recertification service |
| 7511-1 | Divisions of General Practice |
| 8401-3 | Ear, nose and throat hospital |
| 8512-4 | Ear, nose and throat specialist service |
| 5921-12 | Electronic data processing service |
| 5922-2 | Electronic information storage and retrieval service (except library service) |
| 8512-19 | Emergency Department Services |
| 8531-6 | Endodontic service |
| 8539-18 | Extended Allied Health services |
| 8401-4 | Eye Hospital |

| | |
|---------|--|
| 8532-2 | Eye testing (optometrist) |
| 8710-5 | Family day care service |
| 8511-1 | Flying doctor service |
| 6321-2 | Funeral benefit provision |
| 7561-1 | General Health Administration |
| 8401-5 | General Hospital |
| 8511-2 | General medical practitioner service |
| 8511-3 | General practice medical clinic service |
| 8601-2 | Government nursing home for the aged |
| 8609-3 | Government nursing home for young disabled |
| 8512-5 | Gynaecology services |
| 8512-6 | Hair transplant service (by registered medical practitioner) |
| 9111-1 | Health and Fitness Centres and Gymnasia Operation |
| 8599-2 | Health assessment service |
| 6321-3 | Health insurance provision |
| 8599-3 | Healthcare service nec |
| 8539-7 | Hearing aid dispensing |
| 8539-8 | Herbalist service |
| 8539-9 | Homoeopathic service |
| 8401-6 | Hospital (except psychiatric or veterinary hospitals) |
| 8539-10 | Hydropathic service |
| 8401-7 | Infectious diseases hospital (including human quarantine stations) |
| 5910-1 | Internet access provision |
| 5910-2 | Internet access service, on-line |
| 7000-4 | Internet and web design consulting service |
| 5910-3 | Internet search portal operation |
| 5910-4 | Internet search web site operation |
| 5910-5 | Internet service provision (ISP) |
| 6999-1 | Interpretation service |
| 7531-1 | Local Government Healthcare Administration |
| 8601-6 | Local government hostel for the aged |
| 8790-6 | Marriage guidance service |
| 8401-8 | Maternity Hospital |
| 8520-2 | Medical laboratory service |
| 6910-1 | Medical research service |
| 5921-13 | Microfiche or microfilm recording and imaging service |
| 8539-11 | Midwifery service |
| 8539-12 | Naturopathic service |
| 8512-7 | Neurology service |
| 8539-13 | Nursing service |
| 8512-8 | Obstetrics service |
| 8401-9 | Obstretic Hospital |
| 8539-14 | Occupational therapy service |
| 7291-4 | Office administrative service n.e.c. |
| 8790-7 | Operation of soup kitchen (including mobile) |
| 8512-9 | Ophthalmology service |
| 8532-3 | Optical dispensing |
| 5921-14 | Optical scanning service |
| 8532-4 | Optician service |

| | |
|---------|---|
| 8531-7 | Oral pathology service |
| 8531-8 | Oral surgery service |
| 8531-9 | Orthodontic service |
| 8512-10 | Orthopaedic service |
| 8532-5 | Orthoptic service |
| 8534-2 | Osteopathic Services |
| 8609-4 | Other charitable hostel |
| 8401-19 | Other Commonwealth Hospital |
| 8609-6 | Other Local government hostel |
| 8609-5 | Other State government hostel |
| 8512-11 | Paediatric service |
| 8520-3 | Pathology laboratory service |
| 7291-5 | Payroll processing |
| 8531-10 | Pedodontics service |
| 8531-11 | Periodontic service |
| 4271-1 | Pharmacy, retail, operation |
| 8533-1 | Physiotherapy Services |
| 8539-15 | Podiatry service |
| 5910-6 | Portal web search operation |
| 8102-2 | Postgraduate school, university operation |
| 8599-6 | Private (non-profit) Community Health Centre |
| 8401-16 | Private acute care Hospital |
| 8609-8 | Private alcohol and drug treatment centre |
| 8601-3 | Private charitable nursing home for the aged |
| 8609-1 | Private charitable nursing home for young disabled |
| 8401-13 | Private day centre/hospital. |
| 8401-14 | Private freestanding day surgery centre. |
| 8402-2 | Private Mental Health Hospital |
| 8601-1 | Private profit nursing home for the aged |
| 8609-2 | Private profit nursing home for young disabled |
| 6999-2 | Professional, scientific and technical services n.e.c. |
| 8531-12 | Prosthodontics service |
| 7562-1 | Provision and administration of public health program |
| 8512-12 | Psychiatry service |
| 8401-15 | Public acute care Hospital |
| 8609-7 | Public alcohol and drug treatment centre |
| 8599-5 | Public Community Health Centre |
| 8401-11 | Public day centre/hospital |
| 8401-12 | Public freestanding day surgery centre. |
| 8402-1 | Public Mental Health Hospital |
| 7291-6 | Reception service |
| 8102-3 | Research school, university operation |
| 8512-13 | Rheumatology service |
| 8511-4 | Rural general medical practice service |
| 6910-2 | Social science research service |
| 7000-5 | Software development (customised) service (except publishing) |
| 7000-6 | Software installation service |
| 8102-4 | Specialist institute or college |
| 8512-14 | Specialist medical clinic service |

| | |
|---------|---|
| 8512-15 | Specialist medical practitioner service nec |
| 8512-16 | Specialist surgical service |
| 8532-6 | Spectacles dispensing |
| 8539-16 | Speech pathology service |
| 7521-1 | State Government Healthcare Administration |
| 8601-4 | State government hostel for the aged |
| 8401-20 | Subacute Hospitals |
| 7000-7 | Systems analysis service |
| 8102-5 | Teachers' college operation |
| 7294-1 | Telephone answering service |
| 7294-2 | Telephone call centre operation |
| 8539-17 | Therapeutic massage service |
| 8512-17 | Thoracic specialist service |
| 6999-3 | Translation service |
| 4623-1 | Transport |
| 8102-6 | Undergraduate school, university operation |
| 8102-7 | University operation |
| 8512-18 | Urology service |
| 8401-17 | Veterans Affairs Hospital |
| 7294-3 | Voice mailbox service |
| 5921-15 | Web hosting |
| 5910-7 | Web search portal operation |
| 8790-8 | Welfare counselling service |
| 8401-10 | Women's Hospital |
| 8520-4 | X-ray clinic service |
| 8790-9 | Youth welfare service |

6 XDM-ZIP representation

6.1 Introduction

This section defines the XDM-ZIP representation for a CDA package. Therefore, it can be used to represent base CDA packages, unsigned CDA packages, signed CDA packages and profiles of them.

This syntax is a ZIP archive format based on the Cross-Enterprise Document Media Interchange (XDM) format [XDM2006].

The XDM specification defines conventions for the transport of XDS submission sets over three types of media: CD-R, USB memory devices and ZIP over email. This XDM-ZIP syntax is based on that ZIP format, but without tying it to the use of email as the transport media.

6.2 Conformance points

- M 105** An XDM-ZIP CDA package shall be a logical CDA package (section 2.2) represented according to the ZIP file specified by XDM [XDM2006] but with the following conformance points taking precedence:
- M 106** There shall be exactly one submission set.
- M 107** It is not mandatory that name of the directory that contains the submission set be set to "IHE_XDM".
- M 108** The root shall have the fixed filename "CDA_ROOT.XML".
- M 109** The eSignature shall have the fixed filename "CDA_SIGN.XML".
- M 110** The "METADATA.XML" file shall correspond to the repository metadata if that part is present, otherwise there is no METADATA.XML file.
- M 111** The "INDEX.HTM" file shall be optional in an XDM-ZIP representation.
- M 112** The "README.TXT" file shall be optional in an XDM-ZIP representation.

6.3 Informative notes

The XDM-ZIP syntax uses *ZIP item name* conventions to implicitly represent the package metadata information that is in the logical CDA package.

The XDM-ZIP syntax is designed to only represent exactly one CDA package. The general XDM format supports multiple submission sets.

Fixed filenames are defined for the root and the eSignature because XDM does not define a mechanism to identify the purpose of the different files in it. The general XDM format does not specify any particular name for these files.

The INDEX.HTM and README.TXT files are made optional because they are not relevant for applications using the XDM-ZIP format. The general XDM format makes these files are mandatory.

6.3.1 Example

A minimal XDM-ZIP containing a CDA XML document with no packaged attachments will contain these ZIP items:

- IHE_XDM/SUBSET01/CDA_ROOT.XML
- IHE_XDM/SUBSET01/CDA_SIGN.XML

If there is a repository metadata part, there will be an additional ZIP item:

- IHE_XDM/SUBSET01/METADATA.XML

In the above, both the "IHE_XDM" and "SUBSET01" substrings are examples—these values are not required to be used. An XDM-ZIP instance may use different substrings for either or both of these component substrings but they must be the same for the same component in all ZIP item names in the XDM-ZIP instance.

Appendix A: Schemas

A.1 Signatory metadata

The following XML Schema is for the signatory metadata part.

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<xsd:schema xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  xmlns:tns=
    "http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/cdaPackage/xsd/eSignature/2012"
  targetNamespace=
    "http://ns.electronichealth.net.au/cdaPackage/xsd/eSignature/2012"
  xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
  elementFormDefault="qualified">
  <xsd:import namespace="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
    schemaLocation="http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/xmldsig-core-schema.xsd"/>
  <xsd:element name="eSignature" type="tns:eSignatureType"/>
  <xsd:complexType name="eSignatureType">
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element ref="ds:Manifest"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
      <xsd:element name="approver" type="tns:ApproverType"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
      <xsd:element name="signingTime" type="xsd:dateTime"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
      <xsd:any processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
  </xsd:complexType>
  <xsd:complexType name="ApproverType">
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element name="personId" type="xsd:anyURI"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
      <xsd:element name="personName" type="tns:PersonNameType"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
  </xsd:complexType>
  <xsd:complexType name="PersonNameType">
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element name="nameTitle" type="xsd:string"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="givenName" type="xsd:string"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="familyName" type="xsd:string"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
      <xsd:element name="nameSuffix" type="xsd:string"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:schema>
```

The `s:personId` element is designed to contain a machine readable identifier for the signatory. It is recommended that a HPI-I represented as qualified identifier be used when the signatory has a HPI-I number.

The `s:personName` element is designed to contain a human readable identifier for the signatory. The elements in the `PersonNameType` are based on a subset of the *NEHTA Participation: Data Specification version 3.2*, 20 July 2011.

Appendix B: Examples

B.1 Repository metadata

```

<?xml version="1.0"?>

<e:SubmitObjectsRequest
  xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:xsd:rim:3.0"
  xmlns:e="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:xsd:lcm:3.0"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance">

  <RegistryObjectList>

    <!--=====-->

    <Identifiable xsi:type="ExtrinsicObjectType"
      id="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
      objectType="urn:uuid:7edca82f-054d-47f2-a032-9b2a5b5186c1"
      mimeType="application/xml"
    >
      <Slot name="creationTime">
        <ValueList><Value>20051224103022</Value></ValueList>
      </Slot>
      <Slot name="languageCode">
        <ValueList><Value>en-au</Value></ValueList>
      </Slot>
      <Slot name="sourcePatientId">
        <ValueList>
          <Value>
            123456^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0.8003629876543214&ISO</Value>
          </ValueList>
        </Slot>
      <Slot name="URI">
        <ValueList><Value>CDA_ROOT.XML</Value></ValueList>
      </Slot>

      <Classification id="ID_001"
        objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
        regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
        classificationScheme="urn:uuid:93606bcf-9494-43ec-9b4e-a7748d1a838d"
        classifiedObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
        nodeRepresentation="">
        <Slot name="authorInstitution">
          <ValueList>
            <Value>
              GP Org^^^^^^1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0.8003629876543214</Value>
            </ValueList>
          </Slot>
          <Slot name="authorPerson">
            <ValueList>
              <Value>8003619876543214^Smith^John^^suffix^prefix^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0&a
              mp;ISO</Value>
            </ValueList>
          </Slot>
        </Classification>
        <Classification id="ID_002"
          objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
          regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
          classificationScheme="urn:uuid:41a5887f-8865-4c09-adf7-e362475b143a"
          classifiedObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
          nodeRepresentation="60591-5">
          <Slot name="codingScheme">
            <ValueList>
              <Value>LOINC</Value>
            </ValueList>
          </Slot>
          <Name>

```

```

    <LocalizedString value="Patient Summary" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_003"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f4f85eac-e6cb-4883-b524-f2705394840f"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
nodeRepresentation="385432009">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>SNOMED-CT</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="Not applicable" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_004"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:a09d5840-386c-46f2-b5ad-9c3699a4309d"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
nodeRepresentation="385432009">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>SNOMED-CT</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="Not applicable" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_005"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f33fb8ac-18af-42cc-ae0e-ed0b0bdb91e1"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
nodeRepresentation="8511">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>ANZSIC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="General Practice" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_006"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:ccc5598-8b07-4b77-a05e-ae952c785ead"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
nodeRepresentation="8511-2">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>ANZSIC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="General medical practitioner service" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_007"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f0306f51-975f-434e-a61c-c59651d33983"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
nodeRepresentation="60591-5">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>

```

```

        <Value>LOINC</Value>
      </ValueList>
    </Slot>
    <Name>
      <LocalizedString value="Patient Summary" />
    </Name>
  </Classification>
  <ExternalIdentifier id="ID_008"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:ExternalIdentifier"
  registryObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
  identificationScheme="urn:uuid:58a6f841-87b3-4a3e-92fd-a8ffeff98427"
  value="8003609876543214^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0&ISO">
    <Name>
      <LocalizedString value="XSDDocumentEntry.patientId" />
    </Name>
  </ExternalIdentifier>
  <ExternalIdentifier id="ID_009"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:ExternalIdentifier"
  registryObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
  identificationScheme="urn:uuid:2e82c1f6-a085-4c72-9da3-8640a32e42ab"
  value="2a4e2153-ff54-4dfd-a960-38ac4daa5435">
    <Name>
      <LocalizedString value="XSDDocumentEntry.uniqueId" />
    </Name>
  </ExternalIdentifier>
</Identifiable>

<!--=====-->

<Identifiable xsi:type="ExtrinsicObjectType"
id="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
objectType="urn:uuid:7edca82f-054d-47f2-a032-9b2a5b5186c1"
mimeType="application/xml"
>
  <Slot name="creationTime">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>20051224</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Slot name="languageCode">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>en-au</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Slot name="sourcePatientId">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>
        123456^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0.8003629876543214&ISO</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Slot name="URI">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>
        CDA_SIGN.XML</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Classification id="ID_010"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
  classificationScheme="urn:uuid:93606bcf-9494-43ec-9b4e-a7748d1a838d"
  classifiedObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
  nodeRepresentation="">
    <Slot name="authorInstitution">
      <ValueList>
        <Value>
          GP Org^^^^^^1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0.8003629876543214</Value>
        </ValueList>
      </Slot>
    <Slot name="authorPerson">

```

```

    <ValueList>
<Value>8003619876543214^Smith^John^^suffix^prefix^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0&a
mp;ISO</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_011"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:41a5887f-8865-4c09-adf7-e362475b143a"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
nodeRepresentation="60591-5">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>LOINC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="Patient Summary" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_012"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f4f85eac-e6cb-4883-b524-f2705394840f"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
nodeRepresentation="385432009">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>SNOMED-CT</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="Not applicable" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_013"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:a09d5840-386c-46f2-b5ad-9c3699a4309d"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
nodeRepresentation="385432009">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>SNOMED-CT</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="Not applicable" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_014"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f33fb8ac-18af-42cc-ae0e-ed0b0bdb91e1"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
nodeRepresentation="8511">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>ANZSIC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="General Practice" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_015"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:ccc5598-8b07-4b77-a05e-ae952c785ead"

```

```

classifiedObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
nodeRepresentation="8511-2">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>ANZSIC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="General medical practitioner service" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_016"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f0306f51-975f-434e-a61c-c59651d33983"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
nodeRepresentation="60591-5">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>LOINC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="Patient Summary" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<ExternalIdentifier id="ID_017"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:ExternalIdentifier"
registryObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
identificationScheme="urn:uuid:58a6f841-87b3-4a3e-92fd-a8ffeff98427"
value="8003609876543214^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0&ISO">
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="XSDDocumentEntry.patientId" />
  </Name>
</ExternalIdentifier>
<ExternalIdentifier id="ID_018"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:ExternalIdentifier"
registryObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
identificationScheme="urn:uuid:2e82c1f6-a085-4c72-9da3-8640a32e42ab"
value="9fa74017-c7f2-45c1-808c-3aa09482fc6b">
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="XSDDocumentEntry.uniqueId" />
  </Name>
</ExternalIdentifier>
</Identifiable>

<!--=====-->

<Identifiable xsi:type="ExtrinsicObjectType"
id="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
objectType="urn:uuid:7edca82f-054d-47f2-a032-9b2a5b5186c1"
mimeType="image/jpeg"
>
  <Slot name="creationTime">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>20051224</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Slot name="languageCode">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>en-au</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Slot name="sourcePatientId">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>
        123456^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0.8003629876543214&ISO</Value>
      </ValueList>
    </Slot>

```

```

<Slot name="URI">
  <ValueList>
    <Value>
      1BFED334BA134B2335FE4A134BDFF234.JPG</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Classification id="ID_019"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
  classificationScheme="urn:uuid:93606bcf-9494-43ec-9b4e-a7748d1a838d"
  classifiedObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
  nodeRepresentation="">
    <Slot name="authorInstitution">
      <ValueList>
        <Value>
          GP Org^^^^^^^^^1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0.8003629876543214</Value>
        </ValueList>
      </Slot>
      <Slot name="authorPerson">
        <ValueList>
<Value>8003619876543214^Smith^John^^suffix^prefix^^^&1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0&
mp;ISO</Value>
        </ValueList>
      </Slot>
    </Classification>
    <Classification id="ID_020"
    objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
    regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
    classificationScheme="urn:uuid:41a5887f-8865-4c09-adf7-e362475b143a"
    classifiedObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
    nodeRepresentation="60591-5">
      <Slot name="codingScheme">
        <ValueList>
          <Value>LOINC</Value>
        </ValueList>
      </Slot>
      <Name>
        <LocalizedString value="Patient Summary" />
      </Name>
    </Classification>
    <Classification id="ID_021"
    objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
    regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
    classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f4f85eac-e6cb-4883-b524-f2705394840f"
    classifiedObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
    nodeRepresentation="385432009">
      <Slot name="codingScheme">
        <ValueList>
          <Value>SNOMED-CT</Value>
        </ValueList>
      </Slot>
      <Name>
        <LocalizedString value="Not applicable" />
      </Name>
    </Classification>
    <Classification id="ID_022"
    objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
    regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
    classificationScheme="urn:uuid:a09d5840-386c-46f2-b5ad-9c3699a4309d"
    classifiedObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
    nodeRepresentation="385432009">
      <Slot name="codingScheme">
        <ValueList>
          <Value>SNOMED-CT</Value>
        </ValueList>
      </Slot>
      <Name>
        <LocalizedString value="Not applicable" />
      </Name>
    </Classification>
  </Classification>

```

```

<Classification id="ID_023"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f33fb8ac-18af-42cc-ae0e-ed0b0bdb91e1"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
nodeRepresentation="8511">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>ANZSIC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="General Practice" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_024"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:ccc5598-8b07-4b77-a05e-ae952c785ead"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
nodeRepresentation="8511-2">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>ANZSIC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="General medical practitioner service" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<Classification id="ID_025"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
classificationScheme="urn:uuid:f0306f51-975f-434e-a61c-c59651d33983"
classifiedObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
nodeRepresentation="60591-5">
  <Slot name="codingScheme">
    <ValueList>
      <Value>LOINC</Value>
    </ValueList>
  </Slot>
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="Patient Summary" />
  </Name>
</Classification>
<ExternalIdentifier id="ID_026"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:ExternalIdentifier"
registryObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
identificationScheme="urn:uuid:58a6f841-87b3-4a3e-92fd-a8ffeff98427"
value="8003609876543214^^^&amp;1.2.36.1.2001.1003.0&amp;ISO">
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="XDSDocumentEntry.patientId" />
  </Name>
</ExternalIdentifier>
<ExternalIdentifier id="ID_027"
objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:ExternalIdentifier"
registryObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
identificationScheme="urn:uuid:2e82c1f6-a085-4c72-9da3-8640a32e42ab"
value="5edd8890-12b1-4992-962e-a7e01383fd39">
  <Name>
    <LocalizedString value="XDSDocumentEntry.uniqueId" />
  </Name>
</ExternalIdentifier>
</Identifiable>

<!--=====-->

<Identifiable xsi:type="RegistryPackageType"
id="urn:uuid:3a9cd71d-e213-4644-b323-c9c9112e4195"

```



```

    </Name>
  </ExternalIdentifier>
</Identifiable>

<!------->

  <Identifiable xsi:type="ClassificationType" id="ID_033"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Classification"
  classificationScheme="urn:uuid:a54d6aa5-d40d-43f9-88c5-b4633d873bdd"
  classifiedObject="urn:uuid:3a9cd71d-e213-4644-b323-c9c9112e4195"
  nodeRepresentation=""
  />

<!------->

  <Identifiable xsi:type="AssociationType1" id="ID_034"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Association"
  associationType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:AssociationType:HasMember"
  sourceObject="urn:uuid:3a9cd71d-e213-4644-b323-c9c9112e4195"
  targetObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"
  >
    <Slot name="SubmissionSetStatus">
      <ValueList>
        <Value>Original</Value>
      </ValueList>
    </Slot>
  </Identifiable>

<!------->

  <Identifiable xsi:type="AssociationType1" id="ID_035"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Association"
  associationType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:AssociationType:HasMember"
  sourceObject="urn:uuid:3a9cd71d-e213-4644-b323-c9c9112e4195"
  targetObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
  >
    <Slot name="SubmissionSetStatus">
      <ValueList>
        <Value>Original</Value>
      </ValueList>
    </Slot>
  </Identifiable>

<!------->

  <Identifiable xsi:type="AssociationType1" id="ID_036"
  objectType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-
  regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Association"
  associationType="urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:AssociationType:HasMember"
  sourceObject="urn:uuid:3a9cd71d-e213-4644-b323-c9c9112e4195"
  targetObject="urn:uuid:45d1cacb-66a4-423c-9e39-16c17689fb2f"
  >
    <Slot name="SubmissionSetStatus">
      <ValueList>
        <Value>Original</Value>
      </ValueList>
    </Slot>
  </Identifiable>

<!------->

  <Identifiable
  xsi:type="AssociationType1" id="ID_037"
  objectType=
  "urn:oasis:names:tc:ebxml-regrep:ObjectType:RegistryObject:Association"
  associationType="urn:ihe:iti:2007:AssociationType:signs"
  sourceObject="urn:uuid:9feed3fe-41b3-4af3-bdfb-74f85d234b11"
  targetObject="urn:uuid:6a9a91f7-0385-4ce2-9bc9-dab615717c66"

```

```
    />  
  </RegistryObjectList>  
</e:SubmitObjectsRequest>
```