nehta

PCEHR Conformance Profile for Specialist Letter Clinical Documents

Version 1.4 - 9 October 2013

Approved for external use

Document ID: NEHTA-1451:2013

National E-Health Transition Authority Ltd

Level 25 56 Pitt Street Sydney, NSW, 2000 Australia. www.nehta.gov.au

Disclaimer

NEHTA makes the information and other material ("Information") in this document available in good faith but without any representation or warranty as to its accuracy or completeness. NEHTA cannot accept any responsibility for the consequences of any use of the Information. As the Information is of a general nature only, it is up to any person using or relying on the Information to ensure that it is accurate, complete and suitable for the circumstances of its use.

Document Control

This document is maintained in electronic form. The current revision of this document is located on the NEHTA Web site and is uncontrolled in printed form. It is the responsibility of the user to verify that this copy is of the latest revision.

Copyright © 2013 NEHTA.

This document contains information which is protected by copyright. All Rights Reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems—without the permission of NEHTA. All copies of this document must include the copyright and other information contained on this page.

Document information

Key information

Owner	Manager eSolutions (Clinical Documents)	
Contact for enquiries	NEHTA t: e:	Help Centre 1300 901 001 help@nehta.gov.au

Version history

Version	Date	Comments
1.0	28 Nov 2011	Published version
1.01	21 Dec 2011	Clarification on extensibility was added
1.1	7 Mar 2012	See Change Log in Appendix A
1.2	19 Mar 2012	See Change Log in Appendix A
1.3	17 May 2012	See Change Log in Appendix A
1.4	9 Oct 2013	See Change Log in Appendix A

Table of contents

1	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Purpose	1
	1.2	Scope	
	1.3	Intended audience	
	1.4	Contact details	1
2	Abbi	eviations and terminology	2
3	Conf	ormance requirements for Specialist Letter	4
	3.1	Introduction	4
	3.2	Relevant specifications	
	3.3	Conformance requirements for Producers	4
		3.3.1 Objects of conformance	4
		3.3.2 Conformance levels	
		3.3.3 Temporary relaxation of inclusion of HPI-I	
	3.4	Conformance requirements for Consumers	6
		3.4.1 Objects of conformance	6
Арре	endix	A: Change log	7
Refe	rence	s	9

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This document summarises the requirements for Producers and Consumers of the Specialist Letter clinical document that connect to the national PCEHR system.

This document lists the specific conformance requirements for the Specialist Letter clinical document that are in addition to the *Common Conformance Profile for Clinical Documents* [NEHTA2013]. These documents represent the complete conformance requirements for the Specialist Letter clinical document.

1.2 Scope

The scope of this conformance profile is the use of Specialist Letter clinical documents in the context of the national PCEHR system.

1.3 Intended audience

The intended audience includes the following organisations:

- healthcare providers;
- vendors and developers of connecting systems; and
- software test laboratories.

1.4 Contact details

Any comments or feedback should be sent to NEHTA at: help@nehta.gov.au.

2

Abbreviations and terminology

CDA clinical document	Clinical Document Architecture; an XML-based markup standard intended to specify the encoding, structure and semantics of clinical documents exchanged between health software systems.	
clinical document		
	A digital file containing personal health information about an individual, containing unstructured (narrative) information and optionally structured (atomic) information.	
clinical information system (CIS)	A system that deals with the collection, storage, retrieval, communication, and use of health related data, information and knowledge pertaining to subjects of care [AS5021]. The system may comprise one or more applications or components.	
conformance	Conformance is a measurement (by testing) of the adherence of an implementation to a specification or standard.	
consumer	In this document 'Consumer' refers to a software system that has the role of being a consumer of Specialist Letters.	
contracted service provider (CSP)	An entity that may offer health software as a service, and support access to the PCEHR system on behalf of healthcare organisations. A CSP provides under a contract with the healthcare provider organisation: a) information technology services relating to the PCEHR system; or b) health information management services relating the PCEHR system. (Section 5 PCEHR Act 2012.)	
CSP	contracted service provider	
CSP system	A software system operated by a CSP that deals information and knowledge pertaining to subjects of care [AS5021]. The system may comprise one or more applications or components. A CSP system may perform some or all of the functions of a CIS.	
healthcare consumer	A person who is the subject of care. (For the software system, see 'Consumer'.)	
HL7	Health Level 7	
MAY	When appearing in a conformance requirement, the verb MAY indicates an optional requirement.	
PCEHR	personally controlled electronic health record	
Producer	In this document 'Producer' refers to a software system that creates Specialist Letters.	
registered consumer portal	A third-party ¹ portal used by healthcare recipients to access information on the PCEHR system.	
registered provider portal	A third-party ¹ portal used by healthcare providers to access information on the PCEHR system.	
registered repository	A third-party ¹ repository used to store clinical documents and other clinical data that connects to the PCEHR system. A repository may either store clinical documents in a proprietary format or a CDA format.	
SHALL	When appearing in a conformance requirement, the verb SHALL indicates a mandatory requirement. Its negative form SHALL NOT indicates a prohibition.	

¹ Third-party refers to a software system developed independently of the national PCEHR System and intended to connect to the national PCEHR System. The portals provided the national PCEHR System are not Registered Consumer or Registered Provider Portals.

SHOULD	When appearing in a conformance requirement, the verb SHOULD indicates a recommendation. Its negative form SHOULD NOT indicates an option that is not recommended.
	indicates a recommendation. Its negative form SHOULD NOT indicates an option that is not recommended.

3 Conformance requirements for Specialist Letter

3.1 Introduction

This section describes the conformance requirements specific to the Specialist Letter clinical document type.

Although the Specialist Letter is designed for point-to-point communications, it is also possible to send a Specialist Letter to the national PCEHR system. These conformance requirements only apply when it is used in communication with the national PCEHR system.

3.2 Relevant specifications

The detailed conformance requirements are listed in Table 3.1.

Specification	Notes	
<i>Specialist Letter Structured Content</i> <i>Specification</i> [NEHTA2011]	Specifies the data elements and constrained values for a clinical document at a logical level.	
<i>Specialist Letter CDA Implementation Guide</i> [NEHTA2012]	Specifies the mapping from the structured content specification into a clinical document using an HL7 CDA structure.	

Table 1: Specifications for Specialist Letter

3.3 Conformance requirements for Producers

3.3.1 Objects of conformance

The objects of conformance are subject to the following requirements:

- 1. Specialist Letter clinical documents **MAY** be produced by:
 - clinical information systems; and
 - CSP systems.
- 2. Specialist Letter clinical documents **SHALL NOT** be produced by:
 - registered repositories;
 - registered consumer portals; or
 - registered provider portals.

3.3.2 Conformance levels

A Specialist Letter sent to the PCEHR System **SHALL** conform to the requirements for one, and only one, of the following conformance levels: 1A, 1B, 2, 3A, or 3B, as defined in the *Common Conformance Profile for Clinical Documents* [NEHTA2013].

3.3.3 Temporary relaxation of inclusion of HPI-I

The Specialist Letter Structured Content Specification [NEHTA2011] and the Specialist Letter CDA Implementation Guide [NEHTA2012] include mandatory conformance requirements for the inclusion of HPI-Is. These specifications state the conformance requirement:

"The value of one Entity Identifier SHALL be an Australian HPI-I"

This applies to the data elements:

- Document Author > Participant > Entity Identifier;
- Referrer > Participant > Entity Identifier;
- Usual GP > Participant > Entity Identifier;
- Diagnostic Investigations > Requested Service > Service Provider > Participant > Entity Identifier; and
- Recommendations > Recommendation > Addressee (Person) > Participant > Entity Identifier.

The mandatory requirements for an HPI-I for these data elements are temporarily modified.

- The value of one Document Author > Participant > Entity Identifier SHALL be an HPI-I if one is present in the Specialist Letter Producer, otherwise it SHALL have a value that identifies the document author and the value SHALL NOT be a NullFlavor.
- The value of one Referrer > Participant > Entity Identifier SHALL be an HPI-I if one is present in the Specialist Letter Producer, otherwise it SHALL have a value that identifies the referrer and the value SHALL NOT be a NullFlavor.
- 3. If Usual GP is present in a Specialist Letter, the value of one Usual GP > Participant > Entity Identifier, **SHALL** be an HPI-I if one is present in the Specialist Letter Producer, otherwise it **SHALL** have a value that identifies the usual GP and the value **SHALL NOT** be a NullFlavor.
- 4. If Diagnostic Investigations > Requested Service > Service Provider is present in a Specialist Letter, the value of one Diagnostic Investigations > Requested Service > Service Provider > Participant > Entity Identifier, SHALL be an HPI-I if one is present in the Specialist Letter Producer, otherwise it SHALL have a value that identifies the diagnostic investigations service provider (person) and the value SHALL NOT be a NullFlavor.
- 5. If Recommendations > Recommendation is present in a Specialist Letter, the value of one Recommendations > Recommendation > Addressee (Person) > Participant > Entity Identifier, SHALL be an HPI-I if one is present in the Specialist Letter Producer, otherwise it SHALL have a value that identifies the recommendation addressee (person) and the value SHALL NOT be a NullFlavor.
- *Notes: a) The* Common Conformance Profile for Clinical Documents [*NEHTA2013*] provides requirements for the inclusion of a local identifier.

b) The relaxation is only available to specific healthcare provider organisations, at the discretion of the PCEHR System Operator. The relaxation is provided to allow time for large healthcare provider organisations to incorporate HPI-Is for their personnel in their systems.

5

3.4 Conformance requirements for Consumers

3.4.1 Objects of conformance

The objects of conformance requirements include:

- 1. Specialist Letter clinical documents **MAY** be consumed by:
 - clinical information systems;
 - CSP systems;
 - registered consumer portals;
 - registered provider portals; and
 - registered repositories.

Appendix A: Change log

This appendix lists the major changes and fixes applied to this document.

Changes from Version 1.01 (21 Dec 2011) to Version 1.1 (7 Mar 2012)

ID	Section	Change detail	Rationale
1	3.1.2	Added Objects of Conformance specific to the PCEHR context	Support requirements to constrain Clinical Documents to specific PCEHR connecting systems
2	3.1.4.1	Added new section to constrain clinical document to certain PCEHR conformance contexts	See ID#1
3	3.1.4.2	Added new section to include reference to mandatory Clinical Document use cases	Required to support end- system behaviour conformance
4	3.1.4.4	Added new section to includes reference to approves attachment types and file size limit	To support PCEHR requirements
5	3.1.5.1	Added new section to constrain clinical document to certain PCEHR conformance contexts	See ID#1
6	3.1.5.2	Added new section to include reference to mandatory Clinical Document use cases	Required to support end- system behaviour conformance

Changes from Version 1.1 (7 Mar 2012) to Version 1.2 (19 Mar 2012)

Id	Section	Change detail	Rationale
1	All	An error in converting the file format MS Word to Adobe PDF affected the appearance of some items in version 1.1. The format conversion error has been fixed.	No material changes were made to the document.

Changes from Version 1.2 (19 Mar 2012) to Version 1.3 (17 May 2012)

Id	Section	Change detail	Rationale
1	2	The types of systems able to connect to the PCEHR system were added.	This allowed requirements to be included for each type of connecting system.
2	3.1.2	This section was removed	The information was moved to section 2.

3	3.1.3.3	This section was added.	This reflects the PCEHR requirements for signing documents.
4	3.1.4.2, 3.1.4.4, 3.1.5.2	These sections were removed	The information is now in the common conformance profile for clinical documents

Changes from Version 1.3 (19 Mar 2012) to Version 1.4 (9 Oct 2013)

ID	Section	Change detail	Rationale
1	3.3.3	This section has been added.	The requirement in the Specialist Letter SCS and CDA IG for mandatory inclusion of an HPI-I has been relaxed.
2		The digital signature requirement was removed	Digital signature requirements are now in the Common Conformance Profile for Clinical Documents
3	Арр А	References were updated	

References

- [AS5021] AS 5021:2005 The language of health concept representation, Standards Australia, 2005.
- [NEHTA2011] Specialist Letter Structured Content Specification, Version 1.1, NEHTA, 2 December 2011

http://www.nehta.gov.au/implementation-resources/clinicaldocuments/EP-0937-2012/NEHTA-0983-2011

[NEHTA2012] Specialist Letter CDA Implementation Guide, NEHTA, Version 1.3, 7 Mar 2012

> http://www.nehta.gov.au/implementation-resources/clinicaldocuments/EP-0937-2012/NEHTA-0966-2012

[NEHTA2013] Common Conformance Profile for Clinical Documents, Version 1.4, NEHTA, 2013

> http://www.nehta.gov.au/implementation-resources/clinicaldocuments/EP-1094-2011/NEHTA-1446-2013